

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

FY 1997 BUDGET ESTIMATES JUSTIFICATION DATA SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS



19960411 038

MARCH 1996

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (BRAC 93)

CONGRESSIONAL DATA

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE - 1993
FY 1997 BUDGET ESTIMATES

INDEX

	PAGE
DLA OVERVIEW	1 - 3
DLA SUMMARY	4
BRAC DETAILED BY ACTIVITY:	
o Defense Electronics Supply Center (DESC)	5 - 8
o Defense Personnel Support Center (DPSC)	9 - 14
o Defense Clothing Factory (DCF)	15 - 17
o Distribution Depot Oakland, CA (DDOC)	18 - 20
o Defense Contract Management Districts - Mid-Atlantic & North Central (DCMDM & DCMDC)	21 - 23
o Defense Contract Management District - West (DCMDW)	24 - 28
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS BY STATE	29

**FY 1994-1999 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY OVERVIEW

SCHEDULE:

Defense Electronics Supply Center

The Defense Electronics Supply Center (DESC), Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio will realign to the Defense Supply Center Columbus (DSCC), formerly the Defense Construction Supply Center (DCSC), Columbus, Ohio by second quarter FY 1997. The bulk of DESC personnel will move to DSCC's Operations Center by July 1996.

The Operations Center is being built with non-BRAC funds and was originally designed to hold DSCC and its tenants. To meet the BRAC space requirement for DESC and the DESC's tenants relocating to DSCC, the BRAC budget includes a Military Construction project to renovate existing operations space in Building 12. However, since the BRAC decision results in consolidation of both existing Inventory Control Points (ICPs), a logical decision was made to place the combined ICP personnel into the Operations Center and the tenant population of DESC and DSCC into renovated space. DSCC tenants were displaced from the Operations Center due to the BRAC action.

Defense Personnel Support Center

The Defense Personnel Support Center (DPSC), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania will realign to the Navy Inventory Control Point (NAVICP), formerly the Aviation Supply Office (ASO), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania by the fourth quarter FY 1999. Extending closure by 2 years was as a result of the BRAC 95 action to close the Defense Industrial Supply Center (DISC) in Philadelphia, PA, and to establish one Inventory Control Point (ICP) to manage both troop and general support items. Due to the disestablishment of DISC in BRAC 95, and as a result of the realignment of the ICPs, the construction projects previously planned have been revised to conform with the new ICP and tenant requirements.

Defense Clothing Factory

Defense Clothing Factory (DCF), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania closed September 1994.

Defense Distribution Depot Oakland

The Defense Distribution Depot Oakland, California (DDOC), was disestablished in June 1995, accelerating closure by two years. Its primary mission relocated to Defense Distribution Depot Tracy, California (DDTC) and Defense Distribution Depot Sharpe, CA (DDSC). Slow moving or inactive material remaining after closure will be relocated to other available storage sites within the DoD distribution system. Mare Island and Alameda facilities are scheduled to close by second quarter, FY 1996 and second quarter, FY 1997 respectively.

Exhibit BC-01
(Page 1 of 3)

**FY 1994-1999 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY OVERVIEW

Defense Contract Management Districts

The Defense Contract Management Districts - Mid-Atlantic, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (DCMDM) and North Central, Chicago, Illinois (DCMDC) were disestablished on 3 July 1994. Their primary missions were realigned to DCMD Northeast, Boston, Massachusetts; DCMD South, Marietta, Georgia; and DCMD West, El Segundo, California.

The Defense Contract Management District West, El Segundo, California (DCMDW) was redirected by BRAC 95. In lieu of relocating to Long Beach Naval Shipyard in Long Beach, CA, the Commission recommended this activity relocate to government property by the most cost-effective method -- to purchase or build administrative space. DCMDW will relocate by fourth quarter, FY 1997.

The following displays the current projected cost:

	(\$ in thousands)					
	<u>*FY 1994</u>	<u>**FY 1995</u>	<u>***FY 1996</u>	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>
DESC	1,400	52,255	6,707	8,135	202	0
DPSC	6,600	10,750	0	58,876	8,603	826
DCF	11,600	10,611	0	177	182	174
DDOC	5,274	17,070	0	1,769	213	0
DCMDM/C	8,426	522	0	0	0	0
DCMDW	500	0	0	10,643	0	0

* Includes \$12.550 million funded outside of the BRAC account.

** Includes \$21.757 million funded outside of the BRAC account.

*** Includes \$6.707 million funded outside of the BRAC account.

MISSION IMPACT:

There should be no adverse impact on the mission of DLA activities recommended for realignment or closure.

**FY 1994-1999 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY OVERVIEW

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS:

Environmental funding requirements for FY 1997 are \$14.1 million and total \$30.3 million for FYs 1994-1999. The general requirements by activity follow.

Defense Personnel Support Center

The Defense Personnel Support Center's relocation to the NAVICP is expected to generate total environmental costs of about \$22.0 million -- \$11.0 million in FY 1997. Environmental projects include site sampling; removal of underground storage tanks; and cleanup of PCB, DDT, and contaminated soil. These cleanups began in FY 1994.

Defense Electronics Supply Center

The Defense Electronics Supply Center's environmental requirements are currently projected at about \$8 million -- \$3.1 million in FY 1997. These costs cover various cleanup projects such as coal pile, pest control shop, motor pool, radioactive site, and ground water treatment wells.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY - SUMMARY
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	<u>FY 1994</u>	<u>FY 1995</u>	<u>FY 1996</u>	<u>FY 1997</u>	<u>FY 1998</u>	<u>FY 1999</u>	<u>TOTAL FY 94-99</u>
ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	16,520	0	37,650	0	0	54,170
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,950	10,798	0	14,066	3,468	0	30,282
Operation and Maintenance	19,300	42,133	0	27,811	5,732	980	95,956
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	73	0	20	93
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	21,250	69,451	0	79,600	9,200	1,000	180,501
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:							
Military Construction	5,600	400	0	0	0	0	6,000
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	6,950	21,357	6,707	0	0	0	35,014
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	12,550	21,757	6,707	0	0	0	41,014
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	8,000	0	0	0	0	0	8,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	25	25	25	75
Operation and Maintenance	18,869	62,812	82,567	108,685	111,401	115,694	500,028
Military Personnel	0	179	271	590	713	801	2,554
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian End Strength	1,719	1,862	2,273	2,532	2,551	2,642	0
Military End Strength	0	2	3	7	7	8	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	26,869	62,991	82,838	109,300	112,139	116,520	510,657
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	(2,400)	16,920	0	37,650	0	0	52,170
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	(25)	(25)	(25)	(75)
Environmental	1,950	10,798	0	14,066	3,468	0	30,282
Operation and Maintenance	7,381	678	(75,860)	(80,874)	(105,669)	(114,714)	(369,058)
Military Personnel	0	(179)	(271)	(590)	(713)	(801)	(2,554)
Other	0	0	0	73	0	20	93
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	6,931	28,217	(76,131)	(29,700)	(102,939)	(115,520)	(289,142)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

ACTIVITY: Defense Electronics Supply Center

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94-99
<u>ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>							
Military Construction	0	13,330	0	0	0	0	13,330
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	200	4,720	0	3,070	0	0	7,990
Operation and Maintenance	0	30,659	0	4,992	202	0	35,853
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	73	0	0	73
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	200	48,709	0	8,135	202	0	57,246
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>							
Military Construction	1,200	400	0	0	0	0	1,600
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	0	3,146	6,707	0	0	0	9,853
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	1,200	3,546	6,707	0	0	0	11,453
<u>SAVINGS:</u>							
Military Construction	8,000	0	0	0	0	0	8,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	25	25	25	75
Operation and Maintenance	0	536	4,743	21,242	21,742	22,255	70,518
Military Personnel	0	0	0	315	434	434	1,183
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian End Strength	0	25	230	295	295	295	0
Military End Strength	0	0	0	4	4	4	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	8,000	536	4,743	21,582	22,201	22,714	79,776
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>							
Military Construction	(6,800)	13,730	0	0	0	0	6,930
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	(25)	(25)	(25)	(75)
Environmental	200	4,720	0	3,070	0	0	7,990
Operation and Maintenance	0	33,269	1,964	(16,250)	(21,540)	(22,255)	(24,812)
Military Personnel	0	0	0	(315)	(434)	(434)	(1,183)
Other	0	0	0	73	0	0	73
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	(6,600)	51,719	1,964	(13,447)	(21,999)	(22,714)	(11,077)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Electronics Supply Center (DESC), Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT PACKAGE:

The mission of DLA's hardware Supply Centers is to manage and procure consumable spare parts and commodities used by the Military Services and other Federal Agencies. The Centers are all similar in missions, organizations, personnel skills and common automated management systems. The Defense Electronics Supply Center (DESC) manages and sells a wide range of electrical and electronic weapon system spare parts. The Defense Supply Center Columbus (DSCC), formerly the Defense Construction Supply Center (DCSC) in Columbus, Ohio is responsible for procuring and managing spare parts for weapon systems and is the central manager for construction materiel and mechanized handling equipment.

Realigning DESC with DSCC in Columbus, Ohio will allow for complete closure of Gentile Air Force Station, Dayton, Ohio. DESC is the host at Gentile Air Force Station, although the base is owned by the Air Force and permitted to DLA. The tenants will be relocated either to DSCC or to available space at Wright Patterson Air Force Base.

Gentile Air Force Station will close by second quarter FY 1997. The bulk of DESC personnel will move to the Operations Center at DSCC by July 1996.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Facilities are required at DSCC to accommodate the realignment action and at Wright Patterson AFB to accommodate DESC tenants who have chosen to remain in the Dayton area.

<u>Location/Project Title</u>	<u>FY of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
Planning and Design	1994	1,200
Planning and Design	1995	780
Renovation of Operations Space (Bldg 12), DSCC	1995	6,950
Renovation of Operations Space for DCMAO (Bldg 30030), Wright Patterson AFB	1995	2,800
Renovation of Operations Space for DAASC (Bldg 30207), Wright Patterson AFB	1995	3,200

Exhibit BC-03
(page 1 of 3)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Electronics Supply Center (Cont'd)

Military Construction (Cont'd):

A conjunctively-funded construction project is required to accommodate the Contract Field Team at Wright Patterson AFB. It will provide office space for 24 Contract Field Team personnel who receive their support from DCMAO Dayton. These personnel were not included in the original decision to relocate the DCMAO, but they must be moved to capture the efficiencies and savings associated with shared support services.

Family Housing Construction/Operations:

There are no family housing construction or operations requirements associated with this base closure action.

Operations and Maintenance:

Personnel costs include Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements; Voluntary Separation Incentive Pay (VSIP); Voluntary Early Retirement Authority (VERA); Reduction-in-Force (RIF) costs, including Severance Pay and Unemployment Compensation; and lump-sum annual leave payments. Associated Homeowners Assistance Program (HAP) costs are reflected in other costs.

Nonlabor costs include transportation and freight, communication, and tenant costs.

Procurement Items:

There are no items included which would be funded from the Procurement Appropriations.

Revenues from Land Sales:

As a Defense Agency, DLA does not own property. Therefore, no revenue from land sales can be realized.

Environmental:

Environmental requirements at DESC are currently projected at approximately \$8.0 million. These costs cover various cleanup projects such as coal pile, pest control shop, motor pool, radioactive site, and ground water treatment wells.

Exhibit BC-03
(page 2 of 3)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Electronics Supply Center (Cont'd)

SAVINGS:

Military Construction:

Two projects scheduled for construction at DESC have been cancelled as follows:

<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
Fire and Security Station	1992	2,000
Install Gas-Fired Boilers	1994	6,000

Family Housing:

DESC will save about \$75 thousand over three years (FY 1997-1999) in operations costs due to closure of the base.

Operation and Maintenance:

Savings are generated from the reduction of a total of 295 personnel by FY 1997 (consistent with the COBRA model) and from reduced base operations support and real property maintenance.

Military Personnel:

Savings are generated from the elimination of 4 officer billets at DESC by FY 1997. Even though these are Military Service billets, as a Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) activity, DLA/DESC costs are reduced by the amount of Military Personnel costs reduced from our DBOF rates.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ACTIVITY: Defense Personnel Support Center

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94-99
--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------------------

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	3,190	0	31,950	0	0	35,140
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,500	6,078	0	10,996	3,468	0	22,042
Operation and Maintenance	700	971	0	15,930	5,135	806	23,542
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	20	20
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,200	10,239	0	58,876	8,603	826	80,744

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	4,400	0	0	0	0	0	4,400
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	0	511	0	0	0	0	511
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	4,400	511	0	0	0	0	4,911

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	0	10,158	11,206	12,383	13,523	16,239	63,509
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	88	88
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian End Strength	0	105	124	143	162	253	0
Military End Strength	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	10,158	11,206	12,383	13,523	16,327	63,597

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	4,400	3,190	0	31,950	0	0	39,540
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,500	6,078	0	10,996	3,468	0	22,042
Operation and Maintenance	700	(8,676)	(11,206)	3,547	(8,388)	(15,433)	(39,456)
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	(88)	(88)
Other	0	0	0	0	0	20	20
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	6,600	592	(11,206)	46,493	(4,920)	(15,501)	22,058

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Personnel Support Center (DPSC), Philadelphia, PA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT PACKAGE:

The Defense Personnel Support Center (DPSC) is responsible for the world-wide management of basic troop support necessities - food, clothing, and medical supplies. The Center separates its support into these three major functions. All of the functions will be relocating to the Navy Inventory Control Point (NAVICP), formerly the Aviation Supply Office (ASO) in north Philadelphia, PA.

The Defense Clothing Factory, located on the same installation as DPSC, was closed 30 September 1994. The Defense Contract Management District, Mid-Atlantic (DCMDM), also located on the same installation, was disestablished on 3 July 1994. Costs and savings associated with these closures are covered separately. However, there is some impact to DPSC as a result of these closures. DPSC support personnel provided support to these organizations. Some of the environmental costs funded within DPSC are related to cleanup of the Defense Clothing Factory.

DPSC will relocate to the NAVICP by the fourth quarter, FY 1999. Extending closure by 2 years was as a result of the BRAC 95 action to close the Defense Industrial Supply Center (DISC) in Philadelphia, PA, and to establish one Inventory Control Point (ICP) to manage both troop and general support items.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

Two projects are scheduled at the NAVICP to facilitate relocation of DPSC personnel and tenants. Due to the disestablishment of DISC in BRAC 95, the construction projects previously planned have been revised to conform with the new ICP and tenant requirements. As a result, we have revised our award dates and programmed amounts for the construction projects:

<u>Location/Project Title</u>	<u>FY of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
Planning and Design	1994	4,400
Planning and Design	1995	1,190
Convert Facilities for DPSC and Tenants	1995	2,000
Convert Facilities for DPSC and Tenants	1997	31,950

No conjunctively funded construction projects are required.

Exhibit BC-03
(Page 1 of 3)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Personnel Support Center (Cont'd)

Family Housing Construction/Operations:

There are no family housing construction or operations requirements associated with this base closure action.

Operation and Maintenance:

Personnel costs include Voluntary Separation Incentive Pay (VSIP); Voluntary Early Retirement Authority (VERA); Reduction-in-Force (RIF) costs, including Severance Pay and Unemployment Compensation; minimal Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements; and lump-sum annual leave and health benefit payments.

Nonlabor costs include: purchase of systems furniture and reestablishment of the Local Area Network (LAN) at NAVICP, disposal of equipment and files, maintenance and repair, utilities, and disassembly/packaging/reassembly of equipment.

Procurement Items:

Beyond the new systems furniture and LAN, which have unit costs under \$25,000, there are no items included which would be funded from the Procurement Appropriations.

Revenues from Land Sales:

As a Defense Agency, DLA does not own property. Therefore, no revenue from land sales can be realized.

Environmental:

Environmental requirements at DPSC are currently projected at approximately \$22 million. Environmental projects include site sampling, removal of underground storage tanks, and cleanup of PCB and DDT. These cleanups began in FY 1994.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Personnel Support Center (Cont'd)

SAVINGS:

Military Construction:

There were no scheduled projects which generate military construction savings.

Family Housing:

DPSC does not own any family housing units.

Operation and Maintenance:

Savings are generated from the reduction of a total of 253 personnel by FY 1999 (consistent with the COBRA model), reduced base operations support, and real property maintenance.

Military Personnel:

Savings are generated from the elimination of 1 officer billet at DPSC by FY 1999. Even though this is a Military Service billet, as a Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) activity, DLA/DPSC costs are reduced by the amount of Military Personnel costs reduced from our DBOF rates.

1. COMPONENT DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 19 ⁹⁷ MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE MAR 96
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION AVIATION SUPPLY OFFICE (ASO) PHILADELPHIA, PA		4. PROJECT TITLE CONVERT AND RECONFIGURE FACILITIES FOR DEFENSE PERSONNEL SUPPORT CENTER(DPSC)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 610	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$31,950	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY.....	--	--	--	27,931
Renovate/Reconfigure Facilities.....	SF	323,247	10.86	(3,510)
Convert Facilities for Administrative Use.....	SF	200,401	77.06	(15,443)
Provide Non- Administrative Facilities.....	SF	35,901	79.12	(2,840)
Provide Laboratory Facility.....	SF	27,182	123.44	(3,355)
Cafeteria Annex.....	SF	5,283	225.61	(1,192)
Seismic Upgrades.....	LS	--	--	(1,100)
Asbestos Abatement.....	LS	--	--	(405)
Relocate Warehouse.....	LS	--	--	(86)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....	--	--	--	760
Substation.....	LS	--	--	(609)
Water and Sewer.....	LS	--	--	(79)
Landscaping.....	LS	--	--	(72)
Subtotal.....	--	--	--	28,691
Contingency(5%).....	--	--	--	1,435
Total Contract Cost.....	--	--	--	30,126
SIOH (6%).....	--	--	--	1,808
Total Request.....	--	--	--	31,934
TOTAL REQUEST ROUNDED.....	--	--	--	31,950
Equipment Provided From Other BRAC Sources (Non-Add)	--	--	--	(12,448)
<p>10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION : Renovate and reconfigure administrative facilities, convert existing warehouse space to administrative facilities and to provide other non-administrative space (flag production, Command and Control Center, Auditorium, Fitness Center Expansion, and test facility) and provide supporting facilities to accommodate DPSC and tenant personnel relocating as directed by BRAC. This project relocates 3457 DPSC and tenant personnel. The combined DPSC and tenant population after the relocation will be 3664 personnel. The project provides administrative space, auditorium, and cafeteria. Supporting facilities include landscaping, water and sewer connections for the building. Asbestos and lead based paint abatement will be performed. Accessibility for the handicapped will be provided and seismic zone 2 criteria will be applied within existing regulations.</p>				
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 592,014 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 592,014 SF</p> <p>PROJECT: Reconfigures existing administrative space, converts warehouse space to administrative offices, laboratories and non-administrative facilities to support the relocation of DPSC and its tenants within the Navy Inventory Control Point (formerly Aviation Supply Office) Compound as a result of BRAC 93, and modified by BRAC 95.</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: DPSC operations are being relocated to the Navy Inventory Control Point in Northeast Philadelphia, PA from its current location in South Philadelphia as a result of BRAC 93. The Defense Industrial Supply Center (DISC) is being disestablished as a result of BRAC 95. Existing DISC administrative facilities will be reconfigured and reused for the relocating DPSC and tenants.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: BRAC 93 requires DPSC and its tenants to vacate current facilities by 2 July 1999. BRAC 95 does not effect this date. Buildings at Navy Inventory Control Point are currently configured for warehouse operations or other administrative functions. The building contains asbestos and lead paint.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to vacate current DPSC facilities in South Philadelphia by 2 July 1999 will place DLA in violation of the law.</p>				

1. COMPONENT DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 19 <u>97</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA <i>(Continuation)</i>		2. DATE MAR 96
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION AVIATION SUPPLY OFFICE PHILADELPHIA, PA		4. PROJECT TITLE CONVERT AND RECONFIGURE FACILITIES FOR DPSC	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 610	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$31,950.00

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis considering conversion versus new construction and leasing has been performed. The results of the analysis show that the NPV and EUAC for the conversion project is less than the alternatives. Space has been calculated IAW NAVFAC P-80 where applicable.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

a. Design Status:

Date Design Started 10/95

Percent Complete as of 15 Sep 95 0

Date Design Completed..... 10/96

Percent Design Utilizing Standard Design..... 0

Estimated Design Cost (\$000)..... 3,280

b. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other BRAC sources:

Purpose	Appropriation	Fiscal Year Required	Cost (\$000)
Systems Furniture	BRAC	FY 97	10,931
Fiber Optic Hub	BRAC	FY 97	1,186
Fiber Optic Cable	BRAC	FY 97	331

The point of contact for this project is DLA MMDIM Project Manager, John Davis, (703)767-3342.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ACTIVITY: Defense Clothing Factory

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94-99
--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------------------

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	110	0	0	0	0	0	110
Operation and Maintenance	8,740	170	0	177	182	174	9,443
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	8,850	170	0	177	182	174	9,553

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	2,750	10,441	0	0	0	0	13,191
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2,750	10,441	0	0	0	0	13,191

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	8,948	24,416	24,975	25,813	26,451	27,155	137,758
Military Personnel	0	179	183	187	191	191	931
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian End Strength	1,210	1,210	1,210	1,210	1,210	1,210	0
Military End Strength	0	2	2	2	2	2	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	8,948	24,595	25,158	26,000	26,642	27,346	138,689

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	110	0	0	0	0	0	110
Operation and Maintenance	2,542	(13,805)	(24,975)	(25,636)	(26,269)	(26,981)	(115,124)
Military Personnel	0	(179)	(183)	(187)	(191)	(191)	(931)
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2,652	(13,984)	(25,158)	(25,823)	(26,460)	(27,172)	(115,945)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Clothing Factory (DCF), DPSC, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT PACKAGE:

The mission of the Defense Clothing Factory was to manufacture military clothing and textile items, special-sized uniforms, and hand-embroidered flags. The Factory was located on the same base as the Defense Personnel Support Center (DPSC).

Personnel supporting the flag mission were relocated to DPSC in August 1994 and existing commercial sources will be used to procure other clothing factory products.

The Defense Clothing Factory closed 30 September 1994.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

There are no projects associated with this closure.

Family Housing Construction/Operations:

There are no family housing requirements associated with this base closure action.

Operations and Maintenance:

Personnel costs included Voluntary Separation Incentive Pay (VSIP); Voluntary Early Retirement Authority (VERA); Severance Pay and Unemployment Compensation; minimal Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements; and lump-sum annual leave payments.

Nonlabor costs included transportation and freight; packing/disposal of material, equipment and files; utilities and deactivation of utilities systems; and closeout of orders.

Procurement Items:

There are no items funded from Procurement Appropriations.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Clothing Factory (Cont'd)

Revenues from Land Sales:

As a Defense Agency, DLA does not own property. Therefore, no revenue from land sales can be realized.

Environmental:

Environmental requirements in FY 1994 at the Clothing Factory were for preparation of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation to provide an environmental assessment of the effect of the closure. Additional environmental requirements for cleanup of the Clothing Factory are included in DPSC's costs.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction:

There were no projects to generate military construction savings.

Family Housing:

The Defense Clothing Factory had no family housing units.

Operation and Maintenance:

Savings are generated from the reduction of a total of 1,210 personnel by FY 1994, reduced base operations support, and real property maintenance, offset by procurement cost of buying clothing items from commercial sources at lower prices.

Military Personnel:

Savings are generated from the elimination of 2 officer billets at DCF by FY 1994. Even though these are Military Service billets, as a Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) activity, DLA/DCF costs are reduced by the amount of Military Personnel costs reduced from our DBOF rates.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ACTIVITY: Distribution Depot Oakland, CA

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94-99
--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------------------

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	5,274	10,261	0	1,769	213	0	17,517
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	5,274	10,261	0	1,769	213	0	17,517

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	0	6,809	0	0	0	0	6,809
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	6,809	0	0	0	0	6,809

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	0	471	14,426	17,802	18,137	18,412	69,248
Military Personnel	0	0	88	88	88	88	352
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian End Strength	0	13	200	375	375	375	0
Military End Strength	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	471	14,514	17,890	18,225	18,500	69,600

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	5,274	16,599	(14,426)	(16,033)	(17,924)	(18,412)	(44,922)
Military Personnel	0	0	(88)	(88)	(88)	(88)	(352)
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5,274	16,599	(14,514)	(16,121)	(18,012)	(18,500)	(45,274)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

AGENCY: Defense Distribution Depot Oakland (DDOC), Oakland, CA

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT PACKAGE:

The mission of the Defense Distribution Depot Oakland (DDOC) is to receive, store, and issue commodities (except bulk fuel) managed by the DoD Supply Centers. DDOC is a tenant of the Fleet Industrial Supply Center (FISC), Oakland. There are also two facilities located at Mare Island and Alameda that are extensions of Oakland proper.

DDOC was disestablished June 1995 accelerating closure by two years. (Mare Island and Alameda are scheduled to close by second quarter, FY 1996 and second quarter, FY 997 respectively.) Its primary functions relocated to the Defense Distribution Depots at Tracy, CA; Sharpe, CA; and San Diego, CA. Slow-moving or inactive materiel remaining after closure will be relocated to other available storage sites within the DoD Distribution System.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

There are no projects associated with this relocation.

Family Housing Construction/Operations:

There are no family housing construction or operations requirements associated with this base closure action.

Operations and Maintenance:

Personnel costs include Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements; Voluntary Separation Incentive Pay (VSIP); Voluntary Early Retirement Authority (VERA); Reduction-in-Force (RIF) costs, including Severance Pay and Unemployment Compensation; and lump-sum annual leave payments.

Nonlabor costs include movement of materiel, transportation and freight, and disassembly/reassembly of equipment.

Procurement Items:

There are no items included which would be funded from the Procurement Appropriations.

Exhibit BC-03
(Page 1 of 2)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

AGENCY: Defense Distribution Depot Oakland, CA (Cont'd)

Revenues from Land Sales:

As a Defense Agency, DLA does not own property. Therefore, no revenue from land sales can be realized.

Environmental:

As a tenant of the Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Oakland, DDOC should have no environmental cleanup costs.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction:

There are no projects scheduled to generate military construction savings.

Family Housing:

DDOC does not have any family housing units to generate savings.

Operation and Maintenance:

Savings are generated from the reduction of a total of 375 personnel by FY 1996, reduced base operations support, and real property maintenance.

Military Personnel:

Savings are generated from the elimination of one officer billet at DDOC by FY 1996. Even though this is a Military Service billet, as a Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) activity, DLA/DDOC costs are reduced by the amount of Military Personnel costs reduced from our DBOF rates.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ACTIVITY: Defense Contract Management Districts - DCMD MidAtl & DCMD NthCt

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94-99
ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	140	0	0	0	0	0	140
Operation and Maintenance	4,586	72	0	0	0	0	4,658
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	4,726	72	0	0	0	0	4,798
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	3,700	450	0	0	0	0	4,150
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	3,700	450	0	0	0	0	4,150
SAVINGS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	9,921	27,231	27,217	27,318	27,336	27,336	146,359
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian End Strength	509	509	509	509	509	509	0
Military End Strength	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	9,921	27,231	27,217	27,318	27,336	27,336	146,359
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	140	0	0	0	0	0	140
Operation and Maintenance	(1,635)	(26,709)	(27,217)	(27,318)	(27,336)	(27,336)	(137,551)
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	(1,495)	(26,709)	(27,217)	(27,318)	(27,336)	(27,336)	(137,411)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Contract Management Districts - Mid-Atlantic, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (DCMDM) and North Central, Chicago, Illinois (DCMDC)

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT PACKAGE:

The mission of the Defense Contract Management Command (DCMC) is to provide contract management services in support of DoD and other government agency buying activities. The five Districts under DCMC were all similar in missions, organizations, personnel skills, and common automated management systems.

Defense Contract Management Districts - Mid-Atlantic, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and North Central, Chicago, Illinois were disestablished on 3 July 1994. Their primary missions have been realigned to DCMD Northeast, Boston, Massachusetts; DCMD South, Marietta, Georgia; and DCMD West, El Segundo, California.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

There are no military construction projects required for these disestablishments/realignments.

Family Housing Construction/Operations:

There are no family housing construction or operations requirements associated with these base closure actions.

Operations and Maintenance:

Personnel costs include Voluntary Separation Incentive Pay (VSIP); Voluntary Early Retirement Authority (VERA); Reduction-in-Force (RIF) costs, including Severance Pay and Unemployment Compensation; Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements; and lump sum annual leave payments.

Nonlabor costs include ADP requirements to consolidate systems from the disestablished Districts to the receiving districts.

Procurement Items:

There are no items included which would be funded from the Procurement Appropriations.

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Contract Management Districts (Cont'd)

Revenues from Land Sales:

As a Defense Agency, DLA does not own property. Therefore, no revenue from land sales can be realized.

Environmental:

The only environmental requirements at the Districts are for preparation of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation to provide an environmental assessment of the effect of the closure.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction:

There were no scheduled projects which generate military construction savings.

Family Housing:

There are no family housing units at the Districts to generate savings.

Operations and Maintenance:

Savings are generated from the reduction of a total of 509 personnel by FY 1994 (full year savings not reflected until FY 1995), base operations support, and real property maintenance.

Military Personnel:

No savings are generated from the elimination of military billets at the Districts since, as an Operation and Maintenance funded activity, these costs are borne fully by the Military Services.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ACTIVITY: Defense Contract Management District - West

	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	TOTAL FY 94-99
--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	-------------------

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	5,700	0	0	5,700
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	0	0	0	4,943	0	0	4,943
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	10,643	0	0	10,643

FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	500	0	0	0	0	0	500
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	500	0	0	0	0	0	500

SAVINGS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	0	0	0	4,127	4,212	4,297	12,636
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian End Strength	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military End Strength	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	4,127	4,212	4,297	12,636

NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction	0	0	0	5,700	0	0	5,700
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation and Maintenance	500	0	0	816	(4,212)	(4,297)	(7,193)
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	500	0	10,643	(4,127)	(4,212)	(4,297)	(1,493)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Contract Management District West, El Segundo, California (DCMDW)

CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT PACKAGE:

The mission of the Defense Contract Management Command (DCMC) is to provide contract management services in support of DoD and other government agency buying activities. The five Districts under DCMC were all similar in missions, organizations, personnel skills, and common automated management systems.

The Defense Contract Management District West, El Segundo, California (DCMDW) was redirected by BRAC 95. In lieu of relocating to the Long Beach Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California as recommended by the BRAC 93 Commission, the BRAC 95 recommendation is to (1) relocate to Government property in the Los Angeles/Long Beach area; (2) obtain space from exchange of land, or purchase an office building, whichever is the most cost-effective for DoD.

DCMDW will relocate by the fourth quarter, FY 1997.

ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:

Military Construction:

A renovation project is required. Renovation project and associated planning and design costs follow:

<u>Location/Project Title</u>	<u>FY of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
Planning and Design	1997	500
Administrative Building - DCMDW	1997	5,200

Family Housing Construction/Operations:

There are no family housing requirements associated with this base closure action.

Exhibit BC-03
(Page 1 of 2)

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
1993 COMMISSION**

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

ACTIVITY: Defense Contract Management District West (Cont'd)

Operations and Maintenance:

Personnel costs consist solely of Permanent Change of Station (PCS) requirements.

Nonlabor costs include transportation and freight, communications, and systems furniture.

Procurement Items:

There are no Procurement requirements at DCMDW.

Revenues from Land Sales:

As a Defense Agency, DLA does not own property. Therefore, no revenue from land sales can be realized.

Environmental:

There should be no environmental requirements at DCMDW.

SAVINGS:

Military Construction:

There were no scheduled projects to generate savings.

Family Housing:

There is no family housing at the Districts to generate savings.

Operation and Maintenance:

Savings are from reduced leasing costs and Real Property maintenance costs.

Military Personnel:

There are no savings of military billets at DCMDW.

1. COMPONENT DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 19 97 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE MAR 96
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT DISTRICT WEST EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA		4. PROJECT TITLE (BRAC) PURCHASE ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT M/C (BRAC)	6. CATEGORY CODE 610	7. PROJECT NUMBER N/A	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$5,200	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY	-	-	-	4,671
PURCHASE HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	SF	51,000	55.00	(2,805)
REHABILITATE BUILDING	SF	51,000	36.58	(1,866)
SUPPORT FACILITIES				
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	4,671
CONTINGENCY 5%	-	-	-	234
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	4,905
SIOH 6.0%	-	-	-	295
TOTAL ESTIMATE	-	-	-	5,199
TOTAL ESTIMATE (ROUNDED)				5,200
EQUIPMENT TO BE PROVIDED FROM OTHER BRAC APPROPRIATIONS				(965)
TOTAL FACILITIES COST INCLUDING BRAC AND CONJUNCTIVE FUNDING				(6,165)
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION Purchase and rehabilitate a commercial administration building in the Los Angeles/Long Beach, California area. This project will include demolition of the interior and reconfiguring it to accommodate the DCMDW mission. Rehabilitation will include reconfiguring internal walls, HVAC and electrical system upgrades, complying with ADA, current seismic codes and installing internal ADP and communications lines. The building will be of permanent concrete and steel construction.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 51,000SF ADEQUATE: 0 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 SF PROJECT: Purchase an existing administration building (Current Mission)				
REQUIREMENT: This project is purchase and rehabilitation of 51,000 SF of administrative space to accommodate Defense Contract Management District West (DCMDW) headquarters personnel as a result of BRAC 93 and BRAC 95 recommendations. The existing DCMDW personnel are located in GSA leased office space in El Segundo, CA. Relocating this function into an existing purchased building in the Los Angeles/Long Beach area will save the Agency \$3 million per year in lease costs. This mission must remain in the area to serve their customers. The current economic analysis shows it to be more cost effective to purchase a building. However, if the economics change to a renovation or construction alternative, the Agency will take the least costly alternative.				

1. COMPONENT DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 19 <u>97</u> MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (Continuation)		2. DATE MAR 96																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, WEST EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA		4. PROJECT TITLE ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING (BRAC)																											
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 610	7. PROJECT NUMBER N/A	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) \$5,200																										
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: DCMDW is currently undergoing a reorganization, and as a result of BRAC 93, and BRAC 95, a consolidation of Regional Contracting responsibilities. The consolidation will result in additional people being assigned to the District office. Additional, expensive GSA leased space will be needed to accommodate the total population of 290 people.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide this project will result in a violation of law as a result of BRAC 93 and BRAC 95. The District will continue to operate in expensive GSA leased space and the Agency will not receive the savings to be realized through its current downsizing efforts.</p> <p>OTHER: Project is within the criteria prescribed in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design Guide. This project will be completed in conjunction with a DLA MILCON project for 10,000 SF of administrative space for 62 employees of the Defense Contract Management Office West (DCMO) collocated with DCMDW. The MILCON project was approved in the FY 95 Appropriation Act.</p>																													
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>A. Design Status:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Date of Design Initiation</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;"><u>05/96</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>% Complete 15 Sep 96</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Projected Date of Design Completion</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>11/96</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>% Design Utilizing Standard Design Drawings</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Estimated Design Cost (\$000)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$265,800</td> </tr> </table> <p>B. Funds associated with this project to be provided from other appropriations.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Purpose</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Appropriation</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Fiscal Year Appropriated</u></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Cost (\$000)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Admin Space</td> <td>MILCON</td> <td>FY 95</td> <td>882</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(Non - BRAC)</td> <td>BRAC</td> <td></td> <td>965</td> </tr> <tr> <td>{Equipment etc.}</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>C. Point of contact for this project is Mr. Thomas Karst, MMBIP, (703) 767-3554.</p>				Date of Design Initiation	<u>05/96</u>	% Complete 15 Sep 96	<u>0</u>	Projected Date of Design Completion	<u>11/96</u>	% Design Utilizing Standard Design Drawings	<u>0</u>	Estimated Design Cost (\$000)	\$265,800	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>	Admin Space	MILCON	FY 95	882	(Non - BRAC)	BRAC		965	{Equipment etc.}			
Date of Design Initiation	<u>05/96</u>																												
% Complete 15 Sep 96	<u>0</u>																												
Projected Date of Design Completion	<u>11/96</u>																												
% Design Utilizing Standard Design Drawings	<u>0</u>																												
Estimated Design Cost (\$000)	\$265,800																												
<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Appropriated</u>	<u>Cost (\$000)</u>																										
Admin Space	MILCON	FY 95	882																										
(Non - BRAC)	BRAC		965																										
{Equipment etc.}																													

**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS BY STATE

<u>STATE</u>	<u>INSTALLATION AND PROJECT</u>	<u>FISCAL YEAR</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
CA	Defense Contract Management District West - Administrative Building	1997	5,200
PA	Navy Inventory Control Point (NAVICP), formerly the Aviation Supply Office (ASO), Philadelphia, PA - Convert and Reconfigure Facilities for Defense Personnel Support Center (DPSC)	1997	31,950